University Council Steering Committee

MINUTES
OCTOBER 17, 2012  3:15 – 4:15 PM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEETING CALLED BY</th>
<th>Tim Lillie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TYPE OF MEETING</td>
<td>Biweekly Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRESIDER</td>
<td>Tim Lillie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTE TAKER</td>
<td>Kim Haverkamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTENDEES</td>
<td>Members: Tim Lillie, Kent Marsden, Sue McKibben, Nancy Roadruck, Steve Sedlock, Mike Sherman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other attendees: Matt Bungard, Julie Burdick, Elizabeth Erickson, Mary Hardin, Kim Haverkamp, Carol Pleuss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agenda topics

3:15 – 3:20  CALL TO ORDER  TIM LILLIE

Discussion

The co-chair asked for additions to the agenda. There being none, the agenda was approved as distributed by unanimous consent.

The co-chair asked for additions or correction to the October 3rd Steering Committee minutes. There being none, the minutes were approved as distributed by unanimous consent. The October 3rd minutes will be posted to the UC website.

3:20 – 3:25  UNFINISHED BUSINESS  TIM LILLIE

Discussion

The Standing Committee Goals Tally Sheet was emailed to Steering Committee members on October 10th. Steering Committee members were asked to send their completed form to Kim Haverkamp by Friday, October 19th. The results will be discussed at the next meeting Steering Committee meeting.

3:25 – 4:10  NEW BUSINESS  TIM LILLIE

Discussion

The co-chair welcomed members of the Ad hoc Bylaws Committee to the Steering Committee meeting. The Steering Committee received the Proposed Bylaws on September 26th.

The Ad hoc Bylaws Committee explained that the Proposed Bylaws include material from the 2010 Bylaws and the 2011 White Paper. Language was changed only when clarification was needed.

There was a motion from the UC Steering Committee to express appreciation to the Ad hoc Bylaws Committee. The motion was carried by unanimous consent.

The Steering Committee began reviewing the Proposed Bylaws seriatim, line by line. The decision was made to schedule a meeting the week of Oct. 22 – 26 to continue reviewing the document.
| DISCUSSION | Consideration of the Issue Briefs was postponed to the next meeting. |