Academic Program Review Timeline
The University of Akron

- Initiated five-year cycle (Provost Beth Stroble)
  - Units prepared self-studies
  - “Formative” reviews
- Developed academic program data profiles
  - Incorporate Delaware Study data
  - Highlighted prior program review recommendations
- APR Committee reviewed updated program data, developed preliminary recommendations and met with each chair/director
- Provost met the Deans to review and discuss the APR Committee recommendations; Reconciles Graduate School assessment; considers other factors
- Proposal given to Faculty Senate for enhancement, maintenance, and suspension of programs
- Academic Program Review Committee submitted recommendations to the Provost. Presentation to the BOT about the process
- Chairs/Directors interact with faculty to develop response to the APR recommendations and submit to the Dean’s Office
-President considers Faculty Senate response; recommends actions to the Board of Trustees; Board of Trustees takes action
- Implementation steps and timeline for implementation established in consideration of governance processes

- 2005-06
  - Completed the five-year cycle of formative reviews (Interim Provost David Baker)
  - Developed academic program data profiles
  - Incorporated Delaware Study data
  - Highlighted program review recommendations
- 2009-10
  - 2010-11
  - 2011-12
  - 2012-13
  - Spring 2013
  - Fall 2013
  - Spring 2014
  - Updated Program Review data; Transitioned to “Summative” reviews (Provost Mike Sherman)
- Spring 2014
  - Chairs/Directors interact with faculty to develop response to the APR recommendations and submit to the Dean’s Office