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Introduction

The UA-Akron AAUP Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) contains processes, timelines and procedures for the Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion (RTP) of Bargaining Unit members, and should be referred to for such matters. This document serves to enumerate the minimum criteria for tenure/promotion relevant to the discipline(s) represented in the academic unit listed above. These criteria may include quantitative and/or qualitative measures, and meeting these minimum criteria does not guarantee a positive recommendation. Nothing contained in this document can conflict with the CBA or University rules.

1. Materials for the RTP file

Specific materials, other than those already specified in the CBA, that are to be included in the candidate’s RTP file:

   A. Teaching

Quantitative evidence of effective instruction shall be documented by means of:

   • Data from university-approved student evaluations

Qualitative evidence of effective instruction shall be documented by means of:

   • Observations of online, classroom, or clinical teaching by peers who are at the applicant’s same rank or higher and

   • Peer evaluation of one or more of the following: course syllabi, bibliographies, assignments, tests/examinations, evidence of case-based teaching, technology-based instruction materials, clinical reports, or other instructional materials, as appropriate for the faculty member

Additional documentation may be provided in the form of:

   • Outside peer reviewers’ letters, as stipulated in the CBA
   • Documented assessment of learner outcomes, such as student performance on the national certification examination
   • Outside peer observation reports
   • Submission of online, multimedia, and/or distance-based teaching materials.
   • Submission of a self-evaluation
• Submission of awards and/or commendations
• Evidence of effective advising
• Evidence of appropriate curricular development
• Evidence of effective administration and supervision of part-time faculty, graduate and/or student assistants, staff or others, when applicable
• Other documents deemed appropriate by the candidate

B. Research/Scholarly Activity

Quantitative evidence of scholarly activity shall be demonstrated by means of:

• Copies of publications in refereed professional journals

• Copies of professional/scholarly presentations at local, state, national, and international workshops, institutes, conferences, symposia, and conventions

Qualitative evidence of scholarly activity shall also be provided in the form of:

• Peer evaluations which address the quality of the candidate’s scholarly work by individuals knowledgeable in the area of scholarship

Qualitative evidence of scholarly activity may also be provided in the form of:

• Copies of publications in professionally-related non-refereed journals, magazines, newsletters, etc.
• Copies of other academic and creative works, such as the publication of: academic texts, therapy materials, computer programs, websites, Listservs, etc.
• Copies of application for and/or receipt of research grants
• Submission of copies of letters of acceptance and/or galley prints of work accepted for publication
• Evidence of, or hyperlinks to, scholarly works in the literature of the field
• Peer reviews of the efficiency or effectiveness of creative products or works
• Evidence of service as editor, panel chair, and/or editorial reviewer
• Other documents deemed appropriate by the candidate

C. Service

Qualitative evidence of quality service shall be demonstrated by:

• Documentation of service at the school, college, community, university and/or professional levels

Qualitative evidence of quality service may be documented by:
• Submission of committee reports to demonstrate fulfillment of committee responsibilities
• Acceptance of committee assignments
• Holding an appointed or elected office
• Evidence of service as a consultant or expert witness for discipline-related matters
• Results of evaluations from professionals who are familiar with the candidate’s service activity
• Letters of commendations, thanks, and/or acknowledgement for services
• Evidence of participation in activities related to accreditation
• Dean, School director, and/or peer evaluation of service
• Successful development work

2. Annual Reappointment

Clear and specific measures of performance and indications of progress toward tenure:

General guidelines:

1. Non-tenured tenure-track faculty shall be evaluated mainly in terms of teaching and research, and to a lesser extent in terms of service. The Reappointment Committee shall conduct its review of the candidate with an emphasis on the candidate’s progress toward Tenure. Candidates shall be examined with greater scrutiny in each successive year of the Reappointment deliberations.

2. The Reappointment Committee’s recommendation letters to the candidate and the Department Chair shall contain an explicit assessment of the candidate’s strengths and weaknesses, if any. In the event of a perceived weakness, the Committee shall recommend a plan to give the candidate an opportunity to correct any deficiencies before the time of application for Tenure.

3. It is the responsibility of a tenure-track candidate for Reappointment to provide evidence that he or she shall be able to meet the criteria for Tenure and Promotion to Associate Professor (if applicable) at the end of the probationary period.

A. Teaching

During each year of reappointment, the candidate shall provide the following documentation.

Quantitative evidence:

• Median “overall” scores from student evaluations for each class and/or clinic indicating progress toward the minimum ratings discussed below for the question, “Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.”

Qualitative evidence:

• A minimum of one observation per academic year of online, classroom, or clinical teaching by peers who are at the applicant’s same rank or higher. A majority of peer
evaluations must include satisfactory reports. Peer evaluators who observe teaching will need to be approved by the RTP committee in advance

- For each academic year, a peer evaluation of one or more of the following: course syllabi, bibliographies, assignments, tests/examinations, evidence of case-based teaching, technology-based instruction materials, clinical reports, or other instructional materials, as appropriate for the faculty member. A majority of peer evaluations must include satisfactory reports
- Satisfactory advisement as evidenced by meeting deadlines, providing information that conforms to university, school, and accreditation standards and documentation in assigned advisee files
- Appropriate curricular development
- Other documents deemed appropriate by the candidate

B. Research/Scholarly Activity

Candidates shall provide evidence of quality of research and scholarly activity in the discipline that will demonstrate the ability to meet the criteria for tenure and promotion to Associate Professor at the end of his/her probationary period.

C. Service

Candidates for reappointment shall provide evidence of participation in:
- Governance at school, college, and/or university level(s)
- Service to the profession and/or the community

3. Promotion to Associate Professor without Tenure

The School does not allow for promotion to Associate Professor independent of tenure.

4. Indefinite Tenure and Promotion to Associate Professor

Clear and specific minimum criteria that a candidate must meet to be recommended for indefinite tenure:

A. Teaching, minimum criteria

- A median score of 4 on a 7-point scale on the question, “Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher” from student evaluations for \( \geq 70\% \) of credit hour load taught in the years preceding the application. Additionally, the applicant shall not receive a median score below 3 on a 7-point scale on the question, “Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher” from the student evaluations for \( \geq 20\% \) of credit hour load taught in the years preceding the promotion request. In the event an instructor receives a
median score below 3 on a 7-point scale, a written explanation may be provided and considered by the committee. In the event that the number of students responding is less than or equal to 15, the candidate may provide a written narrative analysis to accompany the score. Applicants may petition to exclude co-taught courses and courses taught for the first time.

• A minimum of one observation per academic year of online, classroom, or clinical teaching by peers who are at the applicant’s same rank or higher. Peer evaluators who observe teaching will need to be approved by the RTP committee in advance. A majority of school peer evaluations must include satisfactory reports.

• For each academic year, a peer evaluation of one or more of the following: course syllabi, bibliographies, assignments, tests/examinations, evidence of case-based teaching, technology-based instruction materials, clinical reports, or other instructional materials, as appropriate for the faculty member. A majority of school peer evaluations must include satisfactory report.

B. Research/Scholarly Activity, minimum criteria

• A minimum of two refereed scholarly publications in peer reviewed refereed journals and a minimum of a third scholarly publication in the years preceding the application. When listing journals in the application, it should be indicated whether they are refereed or not, and whether they are regional, state, national or international publications. Candidates may ask the School RTP Committee for an opinion prior to submitting to a journal.

For the minimum two refereed scholarly publications, journals are generally considered to meet requirements if the journals:
  o Are indexed in ComDisDome, PsychoINFO, MEDLINE PubMed or Academic Search Complete
  o List their abstracts in the Social Work Research and Abstracts, Psychological Abstracts, and Sociological Abstracts, educational indexes, or other comparable professional, research, and academic databases abstract and indexing publications

The third (or more) scholarly publication(s) may include articles in refereed journals, chapters in scholarly books, or funded internal or external research grants.

C. Service, minimum criteria

Participation in a minimum of 3 unique and substantive activities from within the following list:

• School
• College
5. Promotion to Professor

Clear and specific minimum criteria that a candidate must meet to be recommended for promotion to Professor.

A. Teaching, minimum criteria

- A median score of 5 on a 7-point scale on the question “overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher” from student evaluations for $\geq 70\%$ of credit hour load taught in the three years since the last promotion. The applicant shall not receive a median score below 3 on a 7-point scale on the question “overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher” from the student evaluations for $\geq 20\%$ of credit hour load taught in the three years preceding the application for promotion to professor. In the event that the N of students surveyed is less than or equal to 15, the candidate may provide a written narrative analysis to accompany the score. In the event an instructor receives a median score below 3 on a 7-point scale a written explanation may be provided and considered by the committee. Applicants may petition to exclude co-taught courses and courses taught for the first time.

- A majority of school peer evaluations must include satisfactory reports.

B. Research/Scholarly Activity, minimum criteria

- At least four publications during the period prior to application for promotion to Full Professor since promotion to Associate Professor. Of these four publications, at least 2 must be published in refereed journals, and at least 2 may be chapters in scholarly books, editorially refereed journals, or successfully funded external research grants. Refereed journals are generally considered to meet requirements if the journals are abstracted listed in the Social Work Research and Abstracts, Psychological Abstracts, and Sociological Abstracts, and or indexed in indexes such as ComDisDome, PsychoINFO, MEDLINE PubMed or Academic Search Complete. The refereed journals may also be indexed or abstracted in educational indexes, and other comparable professional, research, and academic databases abstract and indexing publications. Candidates may ask the School RTP for an opinion prior to submitting to a journal. When listing journals in the application it should be indicated whether they are refereed or not, and whether they are regional, state, national or international publications.

C. Service, minimum criteria

Participation in a minimum of 5 unique and substantive activities from within the following list:

- School
• College
• University or
• Related service to the public

6. Materials for External Review

Materials sent to external reviewers shall include: the candidate’s curriculum vita, the candidate’s narrative statement, and additional supporting materials chosen by the candidate. The language in the solicitation letter of the external reviewer from the committee shall read “In this regard our (reappointment/promotion/tenure) committee is most interested in your assessment of the quality and significance of these selected materials from the candidates file in the areas of teaching, research, and service.”

A. Teaching

The candidate is responsible for assembling and forwarding a representative sampling of materials that reflect his/her quality of teaching. This material shall include a table of quantitative scores on the question, “Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher” from University approved student evaluations. The candidate may include other pertinent materials at his/her discretion.

B. Research/Scholarly Activity

The candidate is responsible for assembling and forwarding a representative sampling of materials that reflect his/her quality of scholarly activity. This material shall include copies of article(s) published during the probationary period to date. The candidate may include other pertinent materials at his/her discretion.

C. Service

The candidate is responsible for assembling and forwarding a narrative describing his/her participation in Governance at the school, college, and/or university level(s) and his/her service to the profession and/or the community.