University Council Leadership Roundtable

MINUTES    AUGUST 2, 2016    3 – 4 PM    LEIGH HALL 414

MEETING CALLED BY    Harvey Sterns, chair
TYPE OF MEETING    Leadership Roundtable
PRESIDER    Harvey Sterns, chair
NOTE TAKER    Kim Haverkamp

ATTENDEES

Agenda topics:
3:00 – 4:00 CALL TO ORDER    HARVEY STERNS

The UC Executive Committee invited UC standing committee chairs, vice chairs and secretaries to participate in a UC Leadership Roundtable. All UC standing committees were represented. The UC chair called the meeting to order at 3 p.m.

The Chair emphasized the importance of committees functioning for the good of the University as well as the importance of meeting governance issues with HLC.

The following was discussed:
1. UC members are representatives of a constituent group and they should communicate with their group to bring ideas/issues to their committee.

2. The attached UC Bylaws were approved at the June 15 BOT meeting. The document is in rule format for the August 10 BOT meeting. The following changes were discussed:
   • Administrators are not voting members of the UC. It is expected that administrators will attend UC meetings when the committee presents an in-depth report or brings a substantial topic before the UC.
   • Quorum for meetings is a majority of the filled seats

Standing committees should review their committee description (see pages 9-11) and bring amendments to the UC if needed.

3. The chair reviewed the attached Standing Committee Expectations document.

4. Goal development was discussed. The following suggestions were made:
   • Goals should be important to the institution and have measurable outcomes/impact
   • Committees chairs should report on goal progress at each UC meeting
Shiva Sastry (ssastry@uakron.edu) asked attendees to let him know what ideas/issues they think the Budget and Finance committee should address.

5. Rex Ramsier discussed the following:
   - The Tiger Team committees are working on short-term, tactical issues and they will give long-term planning issues to the UC committees.
   - UC has to demonstrate that we have a shared governance system that works. We have been entrusted to come up with recommendations that will make a difference to the institution.
   - Members should think about: Who am I representing; What is the goal; and Why am I here.

6. The following suggestions were made:
   - Physical Environment and Budget Committees – get involved in Stark State discussions
   - Physical Environment Committee – get involved in Martin Center discussions

The meeting was adjourned at 4 p.m.