

Initiated five-year cycle  
(Provost Beth Stroble)

- Units prepared self-studies
- “Formative” reviews

Developed academic program data profiles

- Incorporated Delaware Study data
- Highlighted prior program review recommendations

APR Committee reviewed updated program data, developed preliminary recommendations and met with each chair/director

# Academic Program Review Timeline

The University of Akron  
Provost Mike Sherman

Provost met the Deans to review and discuss the APR Committee recommendations

Recommendations are made for investment, maintenance, and sun-setting or reconfiguration of programs



Completed the five-year cycle of formative reviews (Interim Provost David Baker)

Updated the Program Review data; Transitioning into “Summative” reviews (Provost Mike Sherman)

Academic Program Review Committee submitted recommendations to the Provost. Presentation to the BOT about the process

Chairs/Directors interact with faculty to develop response to the APR recommendations and submit to the Dean’s Office

Implementation steps and timeline for implementation established in consideration of governance processes