

# University Council

## MINUTES

SEPTEMBER 10, 2013 3 – 4 PM

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| MEETING CALLED BY | Kent Marsden and Mike Sherman  |
| TYPE OF MEETING   | Monthly Meeting  |
| PRESIDER          | Kent Marsden   |
| NOTE TAKER        | Kim Haverkamp  |
| ATTENDEES         | <p><b>Member:</b> Turner Anderson, Marissa Blewitt, Julie Burdick, Candace Campbell Jackson, David Cummins, Mark Dvorak, Elizabeth Erickson, Ali Hajjafar, Mary Hardin, Wayne Hill, Nancy Homa, Nathan Kerr, Eileen Korey, Kristine Kraft, John LaGuardia, Timothy Lillie, Nancy Marion, Kent Marsden, Chand Midha, Stacey Moore, George Newkome, Diane Raybuck, Jim Sage, Mike Sherman, Cheryl Collins-Slusarczyk, Harvey Sterns, Suzanne Testerman, Lauri Thorpe, Jim Tressel, Monte Turner, Bill Viau, David Witt</p> <p><b>Absent with notice:</b> Matt Bungard,</p> <p><b>Absent without notice:</b> Michelle Ashworth, Ted Curtis, Shivakumar Sastry, Leigh Ann Seibert,</p> <p><b>Other attendees:</b> Kelly Daw, Kim Haverkamp, Becky Hoover, Kris Kraft, Alvaro Rodriguez</p> |

### Agenda topics

3:00 – 3:05 CALL TO ORDER KENT MARSDEN

|            |   |
|------------|---|
| DISCUSSION | <p>The co-chair called the meeting to order. He asked for additions to the agenda. There being none, the agenda was approved as distributed by unanimous consent.</p> <p>The co-chair asked for additions or corrections to the August 13 University Council (UC) minutes. There being none, the minutes were approved as distributed by unanimous consent.</p> |
|------------|---|

3:05 – 4:00 UNIVERSITY COUNCIL BYLAWS KENT MARSDEN

|            |   |
|------------|---|
| DISCUSSION | <p>University Council members provided constituent group feedback on the <a href="#">Proposed UC bylaws following constituency input 9-10-13</a> followed by an open discussion.</p> <p><b>Constituent group feedback:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CPAC response: Recommendations submitted on Sept. 4 were incorporated into the proposed UC bylaws. CPAC endorsed the proposed UC bylaws.</li> <li>• SEAC response: Recommendations submitted on Sept. 3 were incorporated into the proposed UC bylaws. SEAC endorsed the proposed UC bylaws.</li> </ul> |
|------------|---|

- GSG response: Recommendations submitted on Sept. 3 were incorporated into the proposed UC bylaws. GSG endorsed the proposed UC bylaws.
- USG response: Recommendations submitted on Sept. 3 were incorporated into the proposed UC bylaws. USG endorsed the proposed UC bylaws.
- Faculty Senate response: A history of University Council was summarized, including the work of the exploratory committee (2010), development of the white paper (Aug. 2011), and creation of the UC bylaws (Feb. 2013). The resolution passed at the September 5 Faculty Senate meeting in opposition of the proposed UC bylaws was read. University Council members were urged to reject the proposed UC bylaws when the vote comes.

**Open discussion summary:**

- A UC member distributed a written opinion about the proposed UC bylaws and the document was summarized verbally.
- HLC will revisit UA in 15 months to look at shared governance. We need a viable approach that includes all constituent groups.
- Recommendations from the Board of Trustees Strategic Issues Committee were summarized, [Report of the BOT Strategic Issues Committee regarding UC bylaws 6-19-13 \(Page 10\)](#).
- A suggestion was made for a UC committee to meet with the BOT committee to discuss the issues and achieve clarity. Communication with the BOT occurs through the President. UC bylaws will be resubmitted to the BOT through the President.
- Development of the Proposed UC bylaws following constituency input 9-10-13 document was summarized:
  - The UC Steering Committee discussed the BOT recommendations and presented a new approach to the University Council at the Aug. 13 UC meeting.
  - An updated draft of the UC proposed bylaws was sent to UC members for feedback on Aug. 23.
  - Feedback was discussed at the Sept. 4 UC Steering Committee meeting.
  - The Proposed UC bylaws following constituency input 9-10-13 document was sent to UC members on Sept. 6.
- A suggestion was made to decide if UC will be consultative or advisory before voting on the bylaws.

The UC Steering Committee will inform UC member of next steps.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:05 p.m. by unanimous consent.