# University Council

**MINUTES**

DECEMBER 11, 2012  3:00 – 5:00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEETING CALLED BY</th>
<th>Tim Lillie and Mike Sherman, co-chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TYPE OF MEETING</td>
<td>Monthly Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRESIDER</td>
<td>Tim Lillie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTE TAKER</td>
<td>Kim Haverkamp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ATTENDEES**

**Member:** Dale Adams, Jim Boyes, Matt Bungard, Julie Burdick, Candace Campbell Jackson, David Cummins, Ted Curtis, Elizabeth Erickson, Kim Gentile, Wayne Hill, Becky Hoover, John Kline, Eileen Korey, John LaGuardia, Timothy Lillie, Kent Marsden, Sue McKibben, Chand Midha, Stacey Moore, George Newkome, Jim Sage, Steve Sedlock, Cheryl Collins-Slusarczyk, Laura Spray, Harvey Sterns, Jim Tressel, Andrew White, David Witt

**Absent with notice:** Rachelle Davis, Mark Dvorak, Justin Orashan, Nancy Roadruck, Mike Sherman, Mary Beth Clemons, Nate Kerr, Shivakumar Sastry, Tom Wistrick, Sheldon Wrice

**Other attendees:** Kelly Daw, Kim Haverkamp

## Agenda topics

### 3:00 – 3:05

**CALL TO ORDER**

**TIM LILLIE**

1. The co-chair called the meeting to order.

2. The co-chair asked for additions to the agenda. There being none, the agenda was approved as distributed by unanimous consent.

3. The co-chair asked for additions or corrections to the November 13th University Council (UC) minutes. There being none, the minutes were approved as distributed by unanimous consent. The minutes will be posted to the UC website.

### 3:05 – 3:10

**STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT**

**TIM LILLIE**

1. The Steering Committee reviewed the bylaws document received from the Ad hoc Bylaws Review and Recommendation Committee on December 4th, and revised it in consideration of feedback from Standing Committee and UC members. The December 2012 Draft Bylaws document was sent to UC members for review at today’s meeting.

2. The Steering Committee continued to look at issue briefs.
The University Council began reviewing the December 2012 Draft Bylaws seriatim, paragraph by paragraph. The co-chair explained the process for reviewing the bylaws and demonstrated how to use the clickers for secret ballot voting.

A transcriptionist was present to provide record of the discussion, UC Meeting Transcript 12-11-12.

The following amendments were proposed:

1. There was a motion to create an additional bylaw C(8) to read:
   “University council will ensure the transparent accessibility of its decisions, deliberations and referrals, and will publicly track the progress of each recommendation.”
   The motion carried by a secret ballot vote of 21 in favor and 5 opposed.

2. There was a motion to change bylaw D(5):
   The university council shall consist of 362 voting members:
   (a) 15 Members: Three (3) members from each of the following constituent groups: faculty senate, SEAC, CPAC, USG and GSG. At least one of the three representatives from each constituency shall be from its respective at-large community, i.e., not a member of the representative body.
   (b) 1 Member: Appointee of senior vice-president, provost and chief operating officer (SVPP) and president.
   (c) 1 Member: dean from the council of deans.
   (d) 1 Member: department chair from the association of department chairs/school directors.
   (e) 18 Members: chairs and co-chairs of the nine standing committees.
   (f) 1 Member: senior vice president, provost and chief operating officer (SVPP) as a non-voting member.
   The motion was defeated by a secret ballot vote of 20 opposed and 6 in favor.

3. There was a motion to change bylaw D(6):
   The steering committee shall consist of 5 voting members of the university council selected from the following constituent groups: faculty senate, CPAC, SEAC, USG and GSG. The SVPP will be an ex officio member of the committee.
   The motion was defeated by a secret ballot vote of 19 opposed and 7 in favor.

4. There was a motion to change bylaw D(6):
   The steering committee shall consist of 5 voting members of the university council selected from the following constituent groups: faculty senate, CPAC, SEAC, USG and GSG. The SVPP will be an ex officio member of the committee for a total of 6 voting members.
   The motion carried by a secret ballot vote of 21 in favor and 5 opposed.

5. There was a motion to change bylaw D(7)(a)(iv) as follows:
   Each standing committee shall consist of 12 elected/selected members and one co-chair:
   (a) Membership
       (iv) 1 Member: A co-chair shall be designated and appointed by the senior vice president, provost and chief operating officer. The co-chair shall be an official from the office of academic affairs or the
vice president that is most closely associated with the standing committee’s topical areas. The co-chair is a non-voting member of the standing committee who is responsible for convening the first meeting every year to elect committee officers and providing administrative support to the officers of the committee sufficient to achieve the work of the committee.

**The motion carried** by a secret ballot vote of 19 in favor and 7 opposed.

6. There was a motion to add an additional bylaw E(8) to read:

   “University council elected co-chair and elected student members should be considered for workload adjustments.
   
   (a) The elected co-chair shall receive an adjustment of ¼ of his/her workload assignment including summers.
   
   (b) Student council members shall receive ¼ tuition remission for the duration of their service.”

7. There was a motion to postpone consideration of the bylaws until the next meeting. **The motion carried** by a secret ballot vote of 18 in favor and 9 opposed.

8. There was a motion to request that the Budget and Finance Committee review the implications of the proposed amendments to the bylaws. The co-chair asked Vice President Cummins to take into account the debate on the new bylaw E(8) and see if there is some way in which he can help inform our debate at the next meeting. **The motion carried** by unanimous consent.

There was a request to call a special meeting to continue review of the bylaws before the next scheduled UC meeting on January 8\textsuperscript{th}. The Steering Committee will discuss this request at the Steering Committee meeting on December 12\textsuperscript{th}.

There was a parliamentary inquiry about the votes taken at the meeting. The amendments voted on during the meeting have been accomplished. After the initial review of the document, there will be an opportunity for further amendments that may need to be made. Then, there will be a final vote to adopt the entire bylaws document.

Review of the bylaws will continue at the next University Council meeting beginning with bylaw E.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4:30 – 4:30</th>
<th>STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS</th>
<th>STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DISCUSSION</strong></td>
<td>There were no Standing Committee reports.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 – 4:30</td>
<td>NEW BUSINESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DISCUSSION</strong></td>
<td>There was no new business.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m. by unanimous consent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>